IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 22ND NOVEMBER 2007 / 1ST AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 34102 of 2004(I)

PETITIONER:

P.V.GIRIJAN, ANUGRAHAM,
KANJIRAMATTOM P.O. ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.GOPINATHAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KOTTAYAM, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY, KOTTAYAM.

3. THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
K.S.R.T.C. KOTTAYAM.

4. THE STATE TRANSPORT APPELLATE TRIBUNAL,
ERNAKULAM.

R1 TO 3 BY ADV. SRI.T.RAVIKUMAR, SC, KSRTC
R4 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SHRI. PUZHAKKARA MUHAMMED

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 22/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN W.P(C) 34102/04

PETITIONER'S EXTS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE REGULAR PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER VALID TILL 20.10.2000

EXT.P2: -DO- OF THE JUDGMENT OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT IN MVAA NO: 584/2001 DT. 12.11.2001

EXT.P3: -DO- OF THE ORDER OF THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT IN SLP NO: 15796/01 DT. 24.9.2001

EXT.P4: -DO- OF THE ORDER OF THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT IN C.C. NO: 5943/02 DT. 23.8.2002

EXT.P5: -DO- OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS HON'BLE COURT IN O.P.NO: 38683/2002 DT. 19.12.2002

EXT.P6: -DO- OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT DT. 7.2.2003

EXT.P7: -DO- OF THE APPEAL MEMORANDUM AS MVAA NO: 393/2003 DT. 20.3.03

EXT.P8: -DO- OF THE JUDGMENT OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT IN MVAA NO: 393/03 DT. 31.8.2004

jj /TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

KURIAN JOSEPH J.

Writ Petition (Civil) No.34102 of 2004

Dated 22nd November, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner is aggrieved since his application for renewal of permit was rejected by Ext.P6, which was confirmed by the Tribunal in Ext.P8. During the pendency of the writ petition, Government have issued Ext.P9 dated 9.5.2007, which according to the petitioner, permits renewal of the permits like the one he had applied for. In the above circumstances, I quash Exts.P6 and P8 with a direction to the first respondent to consider the petitioner's application for renewal as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. It is made clear that in the meantime, the petitioner will be at liberty to move for temporary permit which shall be considered in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs